COMP / ELEC / STAT 502 Peer Points for Project Presentations

Project rankings

In two categories the 1st and 3rd places were won by the same team. In one category a 3rd place winner was also a 2nd place winner. In these cases the #3 placement was transferred to the next highest ranking person(s).

The numbers in parentheses show the numbers of votes the winner received in that particular category.

Not every voter voted on all placements in all categories, therefore the number of votes will not add up to 19 x 3. (Voters include Patrick but do not include me.)

Best project presentation:

#1	Kramer & Losey (7)
#2	Yang & Chu (5)
#3	Kramer & Losey (4) – dropping this
#3 tied	C Huang & Zhang (3)
#3 tied	McRae (3)

Most challenging project:

#1	Kook, Taylor, Warnick (8)
#2	Yang & Chu (6)
#3	McRae (5)

Most imaginative / innovative project:

#1	Yang & Chu (7)
#2 tied	Ashmore (3)
#2 tied	McRae (3)
#2 tied	Villarreal (3)
#3	Yang & Chu (4) – dropping this
#3 tied	Chen (2)
#3 tied	Z. Huang & V. Gattu (2)
#3 tied	McRae (2) – dropping this
#3 tied	Sun & Song & Li (2)

Best documented results:

#1	McRae (6)
#2	Kramer & Losey (8)
#3 tied	C Huang & Zhang (3)
#3 tied	Villarreal (3)

As an interesting statistic, most peer votes won by (in all categories and all places):

#1	McRae (33)
#2	Kook, Taylor, Warnick (30)
#3	Kramer & Losey (29)
#4	Yang & Chu (28)

Averages of peer points

	rounded average	% point
Ashmore, Lillian	24	2.4
Chen, Haibo	27	2.7
C. Huang & K. Zhang	28	2.8
Z. Huang & V. Gattu	25	2.5
E. Kook & J. Taylor & R. Warnick	27	2.7
B. Kramer & D. Losey	31	3.1
M. McRae	30	3.0
X. Sun & J. Song & D. Li	25	2.5
J. Villarreal	29	2.9
D. Yang & Ch. Peng	30	3.0

Two persons' scores were over 300 points total, therefore disqualified and were removed from computing the averages.

Three persons did not give themselves points (I commend you for this).

One person distributed only 74 points total. The rest of the people distributed a total number of points between 260 and 300.

The largest difference in one person's scores across teams was 10 vs 45. Only two persons' scores had as large as a 30-point difference , and even a 20-point difference was rare.

The overall picture is that people – on average - were discriminating very little, resulting in a percentage point "bonus" between 2.4 and 3.1. (These will be added to my score for the presentation, which will be on a scale of 0 – 100%.)