

Presentation Critique for _____

Content

Avg	Good	Great	
			Introduced motivation and interest in this topic
			Gave overview of presentation
			Topic was clearly defined
			Presented benefits of research early
			Coherent pattern of organization
			Sufficient detail to support claims
			Found ways to emphasize his or her expertise
			Planned a conclusion (not just “I guess that’s it.”)
			Material was appropriate for audience
			Used humor appropriately

Delivery

Avg	Good	Great	
			Avoided fillers such as “uhm” “like” “you know”
			Spoke loudly enough to be heard in the room
			Pronounced words clearly
			Spoke without noticeable pauses in mid-sentence
			Used falling intonation at the end of sentences
			Varied rate of delivery
			Changed pitch for emphasis
			Looked at all portions of audience
			Spoke key point, intro, and conclusion without reading
			Did not read to audience much from screen
			Used concept-related gestures to show relationships
			Avoided nervous hand movements
			Stood straight
			Planted feet
			Appeared confident and enthusiastic

Visuals

Avg	Good	Great	
			Appropriate number of words and items on slides (not overloaded)
			Used font sizes/images that could be seen easily
			Diagrams focused on critical elements, not excessive in detail
			Comparisons made analysis or design choices clear and easy to evaluate
			Background did not interfere with diagrams or text
			Sufficient “white” space to make organization of information clear
			Images suited purpose
			Colors were easy to view

Q & A

Avg	Good	Great	
			Listened to question without interrupting
			Repeated or rephrased question
			Began with general answer and then followed up with details
			Addressed response to everyone
			Stood ground or stepped toward questioner
			Avoided cover-up gestures (fig leaf, behind back, arms crossed)
			Wrapped up Q&A with a strong answer or summary comment

Additional Comments: